

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,103 02/12/2002		Robert J. Sinaiko	SHPR-01041USS SRM	8118
23910	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
	MEYER, LLP ARCADERO CENTEI		TRAN, THAO T	
SUITE 400	ARCADERO CENTEI		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111		1711	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/074,103	SINAIKO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao T. Tran	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avainal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application of the control	ation. A proper reply to a hydron hyd				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-9,11-14,19,21-30 and 117</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						
Outon		•				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly proposed claims introduce new limitations, such as "the channel further redirects the flow of air at angle away from the downstream direction" in claim 1, "an ion generating arrangement disposed within the housing having a second width smaller than first width such that the second width" in claim 11, and other newly added limitations in other claims, that may raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are based on the proposed amended claims that have not been entered.

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700